“Detached” deep earthquakes: are they really?

Emile A. Okal

Department of Geological Sciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

Received 28 March 2000; accepted 27 July 2000

Abstract

We use primarily the generation of acoustic T waves into the ocean by deep seismic sources to investigate the propagation of high-frequency seismic energy from the bottom of subduction zones to the shoreline at the earth’s surface. Conversion from shear waves to oceanic acoustic waves can be used as a proxy for the existence of a continuous slab featuring low anelastic attenuation. With the help of other techniques, such as the estimation of Q from S-to-P spectral amplitude ratios, we examine systematically a number of regions where earthquakes have been described as “detached”. We establish the mechanical continuity of the slab to the hypocenters of the 1990 Sakhalin and 1982 Bonin events, which occurred several hundred kilometers in front of the mainstream seismic zone. The study of the 1989 Paraguay shock is inconclusive, probably due to its much smaller size. The vertical continuity of the South American slab through its aseismic depth range is verified, and a similar situation probably exists in Java. Attenuation data suggests that the deep Spanish earthquakes occur within a vertically large segment of colder material, and a similar situation may exist in Colombia. The only clearly detached deep events with no mechanical connection to the surface make up the Vityaz cluster, under the North Fiji Basin. Based on a variety of geophysical evidence, the small deep earthquakes under New Zealand are likely to take place in a detached blob at least 350 km below the termination of mainstream seismicity. These results support a model integrating buoyancy forces over a long continuous slab as the source of the down-dip compressional stresses observed in large earthquakes at the bottom of the transition zone. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction and background

This paper studies a number of selected deep earthquakes, often described as “detached” because of the discontinuous character of seismicity at the bottom of the relevant subduction zones. Our principal tool of investigation are the T phases received at teleseismic distances across the ocean following these events; we are motivated by the fact that T waves can be channeled by the SOFAR low-velocity waveguide (Ewing et al., 1946) only at frequencies \( f > 2.5 \text{ Hz} \), and that their excitation, thus, expresses the ability for the earthquake to efficiently send high-frequency seismic energy, convertible into T waves, to the coastal area. We conclude that several such earthquakes can be better described as occurring in warped but continuous sections of the Wadati–Benioff zone (WBZ). We also show on several examples that T waves are indeed routinely recorded from large deep earthquakes at the bottom of subduction zones.

In a previous study concerned with the 1994 Bolivian earthquake (Okal and Talandier, 1997, 1998) (hereafter Paper I), we showed that the T phases it generated had to be converted from high-frequency S waves, which in turn required a path with low shear attenuation from the hypocenter to the water
column, which could be explained only if a thermally and hence mechanically continuous slab extends upwards from the 1994 hypocenter. This observation was of particular interest since the Bolivian earthquake occurred in a region with no previous record of seismicity deeper than 280 km, between the Peruvian and south Bolivian–Argentinian deep clusters (Kirby et al., 1995). The combination of the 1994 seismicity (including aftershocks of the 9 June event), of several recent earthquakes, and of the efficient propagation of high-frequency regional S waves (with $Q_s$ estimated as at least 800 in Paper I), indicates that rather than being torn, the slab is merely warped along a jog linking the Peruvian and Argentinian segments, and remains continuous both laterally and vertically.

A conclusion of Paper I, substantiated by the examination of spectra of regional S waves, was that the existence of an $S \rightarrow T$ conversion from a deep earthquake at the bottom of a subduction zone can be viewed as a proxy for a mechanically continuous slab, an especially valuable result in the presence of a gap of activity in the WBZ. Similarly, a recent study by Mele (1998) in the Calabrian arc, has estimated $Q_s \approx 1000$ and calculated that a 25-km gap in the continuity of the slab would suffice to eradicate high-frequency (6 Hz) S waves, such resolution being of course much finer than that of even the best tomographic models. Armed with this technique, we focus in this paper on a number of regions where several earthquakes have been previously described as “detached” in the literature. Their geographical layout can take several forms which we now examine in detail.

1.1. Wadati–Benioff zones with depth gaps

Ever since the pioneering work of Benioff (1949), it was noticed that seismicity in several slabs is not downward continuous, prompting early authors to propose that the slab may be mechanically “broken”, with earthquakes taking place in individual blobs of sinking lithosphere, (e.g., Isacks and Molnar, 1971; Wortel, 1984). The primary example of such a geometry is South America, where seismicity gaps exist between depths of 337 and 502 km in northern Argentina, and between 211 and 506 km in Peru–Brazil (Engdahl et al., 1998), while farther north, activity below 292 km is documented only in the form of three very large, very deep events in 1921, 1922 and 1970 (Okal and Bina, 1994), and of three similarly very deep but very small 1997 shocks scattered between the 1921–1922 hypocentral area and the northern end of the Peru–Brazil deep cluster (Okal and Bina, 2001). The occurrence of the great 1994 Bolivian earthquake, its aftershocks, and several recent earthquakes (14 March 1995; 28 November 1997) indicated that while the slab itself must be continuous horizontally between the foci of abundant deep seismicity in Peru–Bolivia and Argentina, it does feature a vertical gap in seismicity in central Bolivia from 280 to 566 km depth. Another example of a gap in seismicity with depth is the Java WBZ, West of 115°E, where no earthquakes are known between 338 and 470 km, (e.g., Kirby et al., 1996).

1.2. Outboard earthquakes

Lundgren and Giardini (1994) have reviewed a number of cases of earthquakes occurring several hundred km in front of the general trend of the WBZ in the relevant subduction zone. The most prominent examples are the 1990 Sakhalin, 1982 Bonin Islands and 1989 Paraguay events. Under the South Fiji Basin, West of Tonga, a number of narrow fingers of seismicity extending as much as 700 km in front of the WBZ have also been documented (Okal and Kirby, 1998; Brudzinski and Chen, 1998).

1.3. The Vityaz deep cluster

Under the North Fiji Basin, Okal and Kirby (1998) have analyzed in detail a large cluster of frequent, relatively small earthquakes at depths of 570–660 km. They concluded that these events take place in a piece of slab severed from a deactivated subduction system and lying recumbent on the bottom of the transition zone.

1.4. The small deep earthquakes under New Zealand

The bottom limit of the WBZ rises regularly from 650 km under the Kermadec Islands at 30°S to 260 km under Cook Strait at 41°S. It is probable that this process is controlled by the reduction in thermal parameter $\Phi$ (Kostoglodov, 1989; Kirby et al.,...
resulting from a slower convergence rate as one moves southwards closer to the pole of rotation of the Pacific–Australian plate system (DeMets et al., 1990). However, a few earthquakes have been documented at depths of 570–622 km under the North Island of New Zealand at 39°S (Adams, 1963; Adams and Ferris, 1976), where mainstream seismic activity stops at 250 km. Additional events in 1991–1998 confirm the existence of this intriguing seismic cluster. Incidentally, it should be emphasized that this situation is not unique among subduction zones, and that individual events are occasionally reported at depths greater than that of cessation of abundant seismicity at the tip of WBZs. A striking example is the South Sandwich system, where seismicity is confined to the upper 200 km of slab, but where rare events can occur down to ~300 km (the latest one on 5 October 1997; $h = 273$ km; $m_b = 6.3$).

However, we do not address such cases of detached earthquakes at intermediate depths in the present paper.

1.5. The deep Spanish earthquakes

The origin of the large 1954 shock at 627 km, studied in detail by Chung and Kanamori (1976), remains to this day a largely unresolved puzzle; only three events are known in its vicinity, in 1973, 1990, and 1993. Intermediate seismicity is known to the SSW, down to ~135 km depth (Mezcua and Rueda, 1997).

In all above geometries, the question arises whether the deep seismicity occurs in blobs of sinking lithosphere actually detached from the main slab, or rather is merely a result of a change in geometrical or thermomechanical properties controlling the existence or the release of ambient stresses in an otherwise physically continuous slab. The answer to this question is of course of great importance for our understanding of the dynamics of the subduction process.

2. Previous approaches

Three main lines of evidence have previously been used to explore the nature of gaps in deep seismicity: first, experiments in seismic tomography have been used to infer thermal continuity for the South American slab (Engdahl et al., 1995), to suggest at least partial deflection of the latter’s southern segment, as well as of the Izu–Bonin slab (van der Hilst et al., 1993), and to image a flat lying extension of the Tonga slab under the South Fiji Basin (van der Hilst, 1995), where it hosts the seismic fingers described by Okal and Kirby (1998). Also, in the case of the 1982 “detached” event in the Bonin Islands, Okino et al. (1989) used travel-time residuals at Japanese stations to argue for the presence of last material in the event’s immediate vicinity.

Second, Isacks and Molnar (1971) and Huang et al. (1998) (among others) have noted the coherence of focal geometries of events below the depth gap in South America, notably in Argentina, where the mechanisms express down-dip compression, arguing for the mechanical continuity of the slab through its aseismic segment. The latter was explained by Engebretson and Kirby (1992) as involving an age discontinuity in the downgoing lithosphere. Conversely, Okal and Kirby (1998), noting the wide variety of focal mechanisms in the Vityaz deep cluster, have argued for its being mechanically unrelated to any shallower structures.

Lundgren and Giardini (1994) also presented evidence that outboard events have mechanisms differing from those of neighboring events in the mainstream WBZ. Finally, a number of regional studies have identified high-frequency S waves in various subduction zones, some of them with seismicity gaps. In particular, in South America, Sacks (1969), and later Isacks and Barazangi (1973), Snoke et al. (1974a) and James and Snoke (1990) used this technique to propose the mechanical continuity of the slab through the aseismic depth gap, although Snoke et al. (1974b) also proposed an underside reflection on the subducting slab as an alternative explanation for the late phases. Mooney (1970) in New Zealand, Barazangi et al. (1972) in Tonga, and Mele (1998) in the Calabrian arc, also used similar techniques. van der Hilst and Snieder (1996) modeled the propagation of high-frequency P waves through a three-dimensional model of lateral heterogeneity under New Zealand and concluded that their observation almost certainly requires the continuity of the slab. Our approach will be conceptually similar, building on the same principle, i.e. that high-frequency S waves need a cold, continuous medium to propagate from the bottom of the WBZ, but will use a different observational strategy, and be global in scope.
3. Dataset and methodology

In this general framework, we investigate in the present study the propagation of high-frequency $S$ waves up slab from a number of deep earthquakes located in targeted subduction zones. We focus on the process of generation of $T$ waves in the oceanic column, complemented occasionally by the direct spectral analysis of regional $S$ waves. We refer to Paper I for a description of the general techniques used.

3.1. Dataset

In the present study, we rely on the following datasets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Island</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Distance to closest conversion point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMO</td>
<td>Pomariorio Rangiroa Tuamotu a</td>
<td>−15.017 −147.906</td>
<td>50 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPT</td>
<td>Tiputa Rangiroa Tuamotu a</td>
<td>−14.984 −147.619</td>
<td>50 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>READ</td>
<td>Reao Reao Tuamotu a</td>
<td>−18.51 −136.40</td>
<td>50 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTH</td>
<td>Mehetia Mehetia Society h</td>
<td>−17.875 −148.866</td>
<td>200 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT</td>
<td>Pamatia Tahiti Society h</td>
<td>−17.569 −149.574</td>
<td>8 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET</td>
<td>Tetiaroa Tetiaroa Society a</td>
<td>−16.996 −149.506</td>
<td>50 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KKY</td>
<td>Kikitea Mangareva Gambier e</td>
<td>−23.118 −134.972</td>
<td>12 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI</td>
<td>Tubuai Tubuai Austral h</td>
<td>−23.349 −149.461</td>
<td>8 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAA</td>
<td>Kalahiki Hawaii Hawaii h</td>
<td>19.266 −155.871</td>
<td>7 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUL</td>
<td>Heiheiahulu Hawaii Hawaii h</td>
<td>19.419 −154.979</td>
<td>7 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIP</td>
<td>Kipapa Oahu Hawaii h</td>
<td>21.420 −158.020</td>
<td>22 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Rapa Nui Easter h</td>
<td>−27.127 −109.334</td>
<td>8 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOS</td>
<td>Kowae Caroline h</td>
<td>3.524 163.009</td>
<td>8 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKB</td>
<td>Moen Chonk Caroline e</td>
<td>7.447 151.887</td>
<td>19 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAU</td>
<td>Nau Nauru u</td>
<td>−0.509 166.932</td>
<td>200 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAR</td>
<td>Raratonga Cook h</td>
<td>−21.210 −159.770</td>
<td>10 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFI</td>
<td>Afamata Opelu Samoa h</td>
<td>−13.910 −171.780</td>
<td>18 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUCO</td>
<td>Guam Guan Mariana b</td>
<td>13.588 144.866</td>
<td>12 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COC</td>
<td>Coco Island Keeling a</td>
<td>−12.190 196.835</td>
<td>2 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WK28</td>
<td>Wake Hydrophone</td>
<td>19.410 167.499</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNBP</td>
<td>San Nicolas Island California</td>
<td>33.248 −119.524</td>
<td>73 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Santa Cruz California</td>
<td>36.60 −121.40</td>
<td>58 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>Petropavlovsk-Kamchatskiy Russia</td>
<td>53.017 158.650</td>
<td>36 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPE</td>
<td>Hope, South Georgia</td>
<td>−54.824 −36.488</td>
<td>58 km</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is the minimum distance to a conversion point, but depending on the geometry of arrival, the actual distance used to estimate a correction may be larger.

3.2. Methodology

For recent (post-1989) events, IRIS continuous broad-band channels; for a few events in 1994–1996, continuous broad-band records of the Micronesian Seismic Experiment (Richardson, 1998); since $\sim$1996, continuous hydrophone channels of the Wake Hydrophone array, available from the Prototype International Data Center; from $\sim$1975 to 1989, GDSN short-period channels (usually available through the IRIS Data Center), in general, the recording of these channels was triggered, and only $P$ (and occasionally $S$) windows are available, which can constitute a very significant handicap for the study of $T$ waves; analog (paper) continuous records at the French Polynesia seismic array, available since 1962, with
high-frequency (T wave) channels (Talandier and Kuster, 1976) available since the mid-1970s;
• analog (paper and dekocorder film) continuous records of the Hawaii Volcano Observatory (HVO)
  short-period network in southern California;
• analog (micro-film) continuous short-period records of the WWSSN, they suffer from the often mediocre
  gains used at ocean island stations.

It should be emphasized that signal processing
methods, such as high-pass filtering and spectro-
gram analysis can be applied routinely only to digital
datasets. In the case of analog records, we were occa-
sionally able to hand-digitize time series for further
processing, after enlarging the record several times
using a magnifying photocopier. The quality of the
resulting time series remains low, and they can be
used only at the lower end of the frequency window
of interest here (f ≤ 3 Hz).

Whenever possible, we use in this study T wave
receiving stations located on atolls characterized by
steep reefs optimizing the acoustic-to-seismic conver-
sion on the receiver side (Talandier and Okal, 1996).

Table 1 lists parameters for stations used in the present
work, and Table 2 lists events studied with the T wave
technique.

3.2. Station corrections

As detailed in Paper I, and based upon the work
of Talandier and Okal (1998), we introduce sta-
tion corrections to compensate for the faster prop-
gagation inside the insular or continental structure
after conversion back into seismic energy on the
receiver side. These corrections are unnecessary
in the case of atoll sites such as the Rangiroa sta-
tions in French Polynesia, or for very small high
islands whose dimensions can be neglected (Mehetia,
Pitcairn).

3.3. Example and methodology

As intriguing as this situation may be, given
that their sources are de facto removed from the
oceanic water mass, the excitation of T phases by
deep earthquakes is the rule rather than the excep-
tion. Fig. 1 shows a typical example of T wave
recorded at station RPN, (Easter Island) following
the earthquake of 21 July 1994 under the Primorye
province of eastern Russia. This event is discussed in
more detail in Appendix A, but we use this record
here to describe the methodology of the present
study.

The two T wave arrivals are easily extracted by
filtering in Fig. 1b, and further resolved by the spec-
trogram in frame (c). The T phase is clearly com-
posed of two arrivals, with maxima separated by
90 s, at 21:09:14 and 21:10:44 GMT, respectively. We
use a station correction of 4 s to account for 11 km
of on-land propagation at Easter Island following
acoustic-to-seismic conversion (Talandier and Okal,
1998) for final times of 21:09:18 and 21:10:48, with
a precision estimated at ±5 s. In Fig. 2, which is
conceptually similar to Figs. 3–5 of Paper I,1 we
study the residual (defined as the difference between
the observed arrival time of the T phase and the ar-
rival time computed from a modeled conversion), as
a function of the latitude of the point of conversion
along the Japan–Kuril shoreline. The fundamental
result from Fig. 2 is that no P → T conversion
anywhere along the shore can explain the second ar-
rival, which remains always more than 1 min late. On
the other hand, it is easily explained by an S → T
conversion taking place at 41.5° N, 141.9° E, which
 corresponds to a concave bight in the 1200 m isobath
at the Hokkaido corner (Fig. 3). Note that the first
arrival can be explained by a P → T conversion at
essentially the same location (it could also, conceiv-
able, be explained by an S → T conversion off Cape
Erimo). We conclude that the two pulses in the T
phase correspond to P → T and S → T conversions,
respectively, generated by a scatterer at the Hokkaido
corner.

The S → T conversion is observed in Fig. 1 to
be of larger amplitude than the P → T one; this is
found to be a common occurrence, which can be jus-
tified along the following arguments: first, as is well
known from elementary seismic theory, (e.g., Okal,
1992), the generation of S waves by a double-couple
is (a/β)3 times more efficient (5.2 times in a Poisson
solid) than that of P waves. This results in teleseis-

1Due to a production error, Figs. 3 and 4 were permuted in
(Okal and Talandier, 1997). The figures were reprinted correctly
in (Okal and Talandier, 1998).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (D M (J) Y)</th>
<th>Origin time (GMT)</th>
<th>Hypocenter Latitude (° N) Longitude (° E) Depth (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M₀ (10² dyn cm) φ, δ, λ (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okhotsk–Sakhalin–Primorye</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 AUG (242) 1970</td>
<td>17:46:09.0</td>
<td>52.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 SEP (246) 1970</td>
<td>07:52:27.9</td>
<td>52.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 JAN (029) 1971</td>
<td>21:58:05.4</td>
<td>51.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 JUL (102) 1976</td>
<td>11:37:12.8</td>
<td>47.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 DEC (355) 1975</td>
<td>10:54:17.7</td>
<td>51.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 JUN (172) 1978</td>
<td>10:10:38.2</td>
<td>47.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 FEB (032) 1984</td>
<td>07:24:27.8</td>
<td>49.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 MAY (132) 1990a</td>
<td>04:50:08.7</td>
<td>49.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 JUL (202) 1994</td>
<td>18:36:31.7</td>
<td>42.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izu–Bonin–Marianas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 MAY (133) 1977</td>
<td>11:13:31.2</td>
<td>28.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 MAY (136) 1979</td>
<td>20:18:01.1</td>
<td>23.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 JAN (004) 1982</td>
<td>06:05:01.3</td>
<td>17.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 JUL (115) 1982a</td>
<td>01:20:07.6</td>
<td>27.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 MAR (066) 1984</td>
<td>02:17:21.1</td>
<td>29.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 AUG (217) 1990</td>
<td>01:34:57.5</td>
<td>29.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 AUG (235) 1995</td>
<td>07:06:02.6</td>
<td>18.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 JUL (202) 1994</td>
<td>22:08:06.2</td>
<td>28.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina–Paraguay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 DEC (355) 1983</td>
<td>12:05:06.3</td>
<td>–28.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 FEB (059) 1989a</td>
<td>13:01:57.6</td>
<td>–23.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 JUN (174) 1991</td>
<td>21:22:28.9</td>
<td>–26.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 APR (119) 1994</td>
<td>07:11:30.3</td>
<td>–28.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 MAY (136) 1994</td>
<td>06:36:28.4</td>
<td>–28.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 AUG (231) 1994</td>
<td>10:02:51.8</td>
<td>–26.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 JUL (212) 1970</td>
<td>17:08:05.2</td>
<td>–1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 APR (103) 1995</td>
<td>02:34:38.0</td>
<td>–13.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 SEP (257) 1991</td>
<td>14:14:42.0</td>
<td>–39.180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The three outboard events studied by Lundgren and Giardini (1994).*

Mic S classically having larger amplitudes than P at typical long periods (10 s and above). At the high frequencies used in this study (ω > 20 rad/s), teleseismic S waves are generally eradicated by anelastic attenuation, but propagation over a short distance (∼1000 km) up a cold slab with high Qµ (∼800) can result in S waves of greater amplitude than P at the conversion point.

In addition, the radiation pattern of S waves up the slab can be more favorable than that of P for the particular focal mechanism involved. Also, Talandier and Okal (1998) have shown in the idealized case of a planar shore dipping at a constant angle that S → T conversions can be favored over P → T for a wide range of combinations of shore dips and ray incidences.
Finally, the exact location and mechanism of the seismic-to-acoustic conversion has to be controlled by the morphology of the shoreline at the depth of the SOFAR channel, on a scale comparable to a few acoustic wavelengths, typically 1 km. For example, the presence of bays, coves or bights at the SOFAR depths will affect strongly and in a different manner the $P \to T$ and $S \to T$ conversions. In the absence of its precise knowledge, the combination of all above factors can easily justify $S \to T$ conversions with stronger amplitudes than $P \to T$ ones.
Fig. 2. Residual (observed minus computed) travel-times for the first (solid line) and second (dashes) arrivals at RPN, plotted as a function of the latitude of a hypothetical point of seismic-to-acoustic conversion, on the 1200 m isobath along the Japan–Kuril trench (see Fig. 3). The top frame assumes initial seismic propagation as a $P$ wave, the bottom one as an $S$ wave.
4. Regional investigations

In this section, we investigate systematically the characteristics of $T$ waves generated by deep events in subduction zones characterized by seismicity gaps and/or detached events. We discuss in the main text only the principal events, in particular the so-called “detached” earthquakes. All details regarding additional shocks are given in Appendix A.

4.1. Kuril–Sakhalin subduction zone

In this region, we are of course motivated by the large deep earthquake under Sakhalin on 12 May 1990. The WBZ is well defined to the East, but deep earthquakes were largely undocumented West of 148°E ($h > 600\text{ km}$) or 146.5°E ($h > 550\text{ km}$). In this respect, the event occurred significantly North of the perceived extent of the WBZ, and was widely described as “isolated” (Lundgren and Giardini, 1994). However, in an independent study involving relocation of historical earthquakes, we have identified a string of hypocenters extending continuously from the Hokkaido corner at (45.5°N, 137°E, 310 km), under the Tatar Straits down to the 1990 Sakhalin event (Okal et al., 1995; Huang et al., 1998).

4.1.1. Sakhalin, 12 May 1990; 49.0°N, 141.8°E; 605 km; $M_0 = 8.2 \times 10^{26} \text{ dyn cm}$

We obtained excellent paper records of $T$ arrivals in Polynesia. We use stations PMO (Pomariorio, Rangirola), MEH (Mehetia, Society) and TBI (Tubuai, Austral). Fig. 4 shows the record at PMO. It is obviously characterized by two main arrivals, with maxima at 06:38:12 and 06:39:38 GMT, respectively. No correction is needed at MEH and PMO, since the stations are less than 500 m from the point of acoustic-to-seismic conversion. A 2 s correction was performed at TBI.

Unfortunately, we were unable to find any other record of $T$ waves from that event. This is due to several circumstances. In 1990, the demise of the WWSSN was complete, but the IRIS network was not yet operating at full strength, and in particular few broad-band stations were continuously recording, hence no records are available at RAR, RPN,
Fig. 4. T waves recorded in Polynesia from the isolated deep earthquake of 12 May 1990. Top: original paper record at PMO. Note the two puffs of high-frequency activity, separated by $\sim 90$ s, which compose the T phase. Bottom: map of the Primorye-Sakhalin-Okhotsk area showing the mechanism of conversion at Cape Erimo, Hokkaido. The stars are other deep events generating T phases into the Pacific Ocean, including the large 1970 Okhotsk Sea earthquake. The event at extreme left is the Primorye earthquake described in Figs. 1–3. The triangle is a small 1997 event at 416 km, confirming the warped geometry of the slab.

AFL. While the broad-band channel at KIP (Kipapa, Hawaii) is continuously available, the station is masked by Kauai, and no T wave arrival could be identified; similarly, a systematic search of the HVO records failed to turn up a T phase. Finally, propagation to California coastal stations is blocked by the Aleutian arc.

Fig. 5 shows that whereas the first arrivals (solid lines) at stations PMO, MEH, and TBI are readily interpreted by a $P \rightarrow T$ conversion, the second maxima in the T waves (dotted lines) occur too late to correspond to conversion from a P wave anywhere along the coastline, from 37 to 50$^\circ$N (conversion at 42$^\circ$ N; 142$^\circ$E is not acceptable, because at this location, the offshore direction faces the source rather than the receiver). On the other hand, these arrivals, as well as the maximum in T at TET, are readily explained by $S \rightarrow T$ conversion at the southern tip of Hokkaido,
in the vicinity of Cape Erimo, at 41.5°N and 143.5°E, essentially the same location as for the $P \rightarrow T$ conversion.

Even though the unavailability of digital data prevents formal spectrogram analysis, the mere propagation of the $T$ wave in the Pacific SOFAR channel requires frequencies of at least 3 Hz, and, thus, our observations indicate the possibility of propagating high-frequency $S$ waves from the Sakhalin deep focus to the Hokkaido corner. We interpret this as evidence for mechanical continuity of the slab in this area.

$T$ waves from additional large deep shocks in the Sea of Okhotsk were studied systematically; all details are given in Appendix A. The emerging pattern is that of the routine generation of $T$ waves from both $P$ and $S$ body waves at the Kuril trench for eastern
Sea of Okhotsk events, and on the southern shores of Hokkaido for the western ones. We note that this conversion is efficient—events as small as $10^{25}$ dyn cm routinely generate T waves detectable in Polynesia.

In this respect, the 1990 Sakhalin earthquake does not exhibit any singularity in its generation of T waves, as compared with events both in the Sea of Okhotsk to the East (Fig. 1) and in the Sea of Japan to the West (Fig. 3). The slab is vertically mechanically continuous with the lithosphere subducted at the Hokkaido corner, as also documented by the seismic “finger” reaching the 1990 hypocenter (Huang et al., 1998) (we failed to find any new events (1994–1998) which would have updated the seismicity along the finger).

Rather, the northerly position of the 1990 Sakhalin deep shock indicates that the slab is warped, with the subducting angle significantly shallower in the western part of the Sea of Okhotsk than in its eastern part, an interpretation in line with the model of Glenmon and Chen (1993), and with the tomographic results of van der Hilst et al. (1991). Finally, it is also borne out by the occurrence of a moderately deep shock under Primorye on 1 October 1997 ($h = 416$ km, $m_o = 5.2$; triangle in Fig. 4), which constrains the WBZ to a northerly location. Faint T waves were recorded from this event at the Wake hydrophones, but were of an amplitude too small for a meaningful study.

4.2. Bonin–Marianas arc

4.2.1. 4 July 1982; 27°9'N, 136.5°E; 552 km; $M_b = 1.2 \times 10^{26}$ dyn cm

This “isolated” event, mentioned by Okino et al. (1989), was discussed in detail by Lundgren and Gerdin (1994). Strong T waves were detected at PMO, where two arrivals are separated by 68 s. No WWSSN or GDSN records could be found for the expected time windows of T waves, but a strong T phase with maximum at 02:34:05 was found at KAA, on the western coast of the Big Island of Hawaii, and a weak but undeniable record is also present at SYP at the western end of the southern California network.

Because the Izu–Mariana arc is composed of discrete island and seamount structures, few of which penetrate the SOFAR channel, the latitude sampling in Fig. 6(c and d) is discontinuous. Even so, the interpretation of the records is somewhat ambiguous. Most arrivals can be interpreted as conversions either at the northern end of the Volcano Islands (Kita Iwo Jima) or at a site on the Bonin Island group. The exception is the SYP record, which can only be interpreted as an $S \rightarrow T$ conversion on Haha Jima, on the southern tip of the Bonin group. Note, however, that the path to SYP involves a 32 s receiver side correction (accounting for 86 km of travel in continental structure), which is bound to be imprecise, given the complex geometry of the shoreline.

The most important observation from Fig. 6(c and d) is that it is impossible to account for the second, stronger arrival at PMO (dotted line in Fig. 6c) by invoking a $P \rightarrow T$ conversion at any of the shallow structures available along the arc. Rather, it is readily interpreted as an $S \rightarrow T$ conversion. Here again, this requires the propagation of strong S waves at frequencies greater than 3 Hz, proving that the slab must be mechanically continuous from the hypocenter to the ocean. The 1982 earthquake cannot have occurred in a detached blob of subducted lithosphere; this result also upholds van der Hilst et al.’s (1991) tomographic section, in which the Bonin slab sags westward above the 670 km discontinuity.

4.2.2. T waves from other events

Other deep events in the Bonin–Mariana WBZ were studied systematically, with full details reported in Appendix A. A remarkable result of this study is that while some travel times can be explained by conversion on the Volcano Islands, none require it; on the other hand, the Wake hydrophone signals (and the possible arrival at SCZ) from the 1996 event (purple star in Fig. 6a) can be explained only by conversion in the Bonin group. In this framework, the latter becomes the only proven scatterer of P and S energy into the SOFAR channel.

This can be understood by noting that unlike the presently active Volcano Islands, the Bonin group is an uplifted fragment of fore-arc basement whose volcanics are at least 40-million year-old, (e.g., Umino, 1985; Taylor et al., 1994). In the fully decoupled Bonin–Mariana subduction zone (Uyeda and Kanamori, 1979), there are few shallow slopes permitting conversion of seismic energy into the SOFAR channel, and in practice, only islands or large seamounts are adequate structures. However, in the geometry of that steeply dipping slab, the seismic rays will arrive vertically to the island and, thus, if the
site is volcanic, travel through its magmatic system, characterized by strong anelastic attenuation. As a result, the high frequencies necessary for channeling into the SOFAR will be eradicated, and conversion to an acoustic wave impossible. The Bonin Islands, however, are offset laterally 120 km from the magmatic body under the active arc and have cooled off since 40 million year, to the extent that they can provide a high-$Q$ path from the bottom of the slab to the 1200 m isobath, capable of delivering high-frequency seismic energy into the SOFAR channel. This situation is of course in contrast to more traditional, shallower-dipping and more strongly coupled subduction systems featuring a well-developed fore-arc structure, long known to offer high-$Q$ reception of seismic energy from the bottom of the slab (Utsu, 1971).

We, thus, explain that the Bonin Islands are the only locale along the Bonin–Mariana WBZ capable of efficiently generating $T$ waves from deep earthquakes in a fashion similar to the Kuril shoreline (Section 4.1) or the coast of South America (Paper I and Section 4.3). Note the 1995 shock to the South (black star in Fig. 6a), which did not generate $T$ phases into the
Pacific Basin, despite having the largest seismic moment of the regional group studied, and being in the immediate vicinity of the island (the volcanically active Agrihan). On the contrary, the last event studied, which is not an active member of a volcanic arc, but rather a limestone-capped uplifted segment of fore-arc basement, estimated to be at least Early Miocene in age (Tracey et al., 1964).

Finally, this pattern is also upheld in the Izu region to the North, as documented by the 1993 earthquake, for which conversions are not observed at the nearby volcanic islands and seamounts (e.g. Hachichojima), but rather off the continental structure of southeastern Honshu (see Appendix A).

4.2.3. Other detached events

In the general vicinity of the 1982 shock, we were able to identify two outlying earthquakes, on 23 June 1988 (mb = 4.5) and 12 September 1997 (mb = 4.1), which qualify as “detached” in the sense that they are clearly located in front of the mainstream WBZ (Fig. 7). We relocated these events using the formalism of Wysession et al. (1991). In particular, we attempted unsuccessfully to force the events into the WBZ, by arbitrarily deleting stations. In addition, we performed Monte Carlo relocations after injecting random noise into the dataset, using $\sigma_G = 1.5$ s as the standard deviation of the Gaussian noise, a generous value for such modern events. As shown in Fig. 7b, the resulting error ellipses do not reach the WBZ, and we must conclude that the events are indeed located outside the main body of WBZ.

Another potential candidate, on 9 October 1963, was relocated with its Monte Carlo ellipse reaching the WBZ, and a historical shock on 20 April 1933, listed by the ISS at 20.5°N, 140°E (477 km), is probably an intermediate depth earthquake under the Sea of Okhotsk.

4.2.4. Discussion

We regard the identification of the 1988 and 1997 outliers as a very important result, in that it establishes the continuity of seismogenic material from the WBZ to the 1982 hypocenter. Together with the detection of the $S \rightarrow T$ conversion from the latter, this establishes the mechanical continuity of a segment of slab extending to the location of the 1982 shock. This is in general agreement with regional tomographic models such as Van der Hilst et al.’s (1991) and Fukao et al. (1992), which show a zone of fast $P$ wave velocities extending West of the Bonin arc at the relevant depth (550 km), thus suggesting that the slab sags and stagnates above the lower mantle. This feature is, however, absent from their models for the southern part of the Philippine Basin, West of the Mariana arc. More recently, and based on a comparison between tomographic inversions of $P$- and $S$-travel-times, Widiyantoro et al. (1999) have suggested that the stagnating and subducting portions of the Izu–Bonin slab may have different signatures, the latter being unseen in the $S$ tomography. A model compatible with the high-$Q$ path required by our documented $S \rightarrow T$ conversions would have to involve a change of mineralogy increasing the Poisson ratio of the stagnant material, while at the same time keeping a low attenuation.

There is no clear explanation as to why outboard seismicity takes place in front of the WBZ only at the latitude of the 1982 shock and of its two small outlying companions. Of course, the latter observation may be an artifact of a short time sampling of seismological observations. We note the intriguing coincidence of this feature with the presence of the Bonin Islands group to the East, which constitute the only emerged uplifted fore-arc along the whole Izu–Bonin subduction system. One can only speculate that there may exist a common geodynamic agent explaining the apparent upwards deflection of both systems, with obviously very different vertical scales.

4.3. South America

We are motivated in this region by the 1989 earthquake in Paraguay, the third of the main “detached” events reported in the literature (Langgren and Giardini, 1994).

4.3.1. Paraguay, 28 Feb 1989; 23.11°S, 61.47°W; 569 km; $M_0 = 7.2 \times 10^{25}$ dyn cm

Unfortunately, we were unable to document a consistent set of $T$ waves at Pacific stations which could be associated with this event. There are two $T$ wave signals in Polynesia: a very weak one, legible only on the $T$ wave channel, at RKT (Gambier) at 14:24:47 GMT, the other one at TPT, detectable on the regular
Fig. 7. (a) Deep seismicity of the Izu-Bonin WBZ, showing the three outlying events (1982, 1988 and 1997) as large dots. The ‘+’ signs are unlocated NEIC earthquakes ($h = 400$ km) for the period 1964–1998. (b) Relocation of the outlying events. The triangles show the original NEIC locations of the 1988 and 1997 events; the dots are our relocations, with associated error ellipses ($\sigma = 1.5$ s). Also shown in gray is the 1963 earthquake, listed as outlying in the NEIC catalogue, but relocating to the mainstream WBZ. The ISC estimates of the uncertainty on the 1982 epicenter are $\leq 1.5$ km, less than the size of the symbol. (c) Cross-section of the dataset in panel (a) along the azimuth 63°, perpendicular to the strike of the WBZ; only events North of 25° N are included; we verified that the two deepest events, shown as open circles, are poorly located shocks which are not genuine outliers. (d) Cross-section along azimuth 153°, parallel to the strike of the WBZ.
short-period channel at 14:29:19 GMT. These times indicate that the two signals cannot share a common source on the coast of South America. Indeed, they can be reconciled with a small earthquake at 13:19:36 GMT, off the coast of Guerrero, Mexico, a region for which station TPT is particularly sensitive to $T$ waves. A systematic examination of both paper and recorder archives at HVO failed to turn up a legible $T$ phase at the coastal station HUL. No IRIS/GDSN digital data are available at appropriate combinations of time windows and sampling rates; similarly we could not find digital $S$ wave records at coastal South American stations to investigate the possible presence of high-frequency $S$ waves, using the spectral ratio techniques of Paper I.

We conclude that no $T$ waves from the 1989 Paraguay earthquake were recorded in the Pacific Basin. Given the adequate performance of the Polynesian stations, and despite the absence of continuous broad-band digital records at that date, we believe that the event did not send measurable $T$ phases into the ocean. Noting that its moment is smaller
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Fig. 8: Comparison of $T$ waves received at station HUL from deep events in northern Argentina. This figure illustrates a threshold of $\sim 10^{26}$ dyn.cm for their detection.
Fig. 9. Map of northern Argentina illustrating the various conversions documented for the events used in the threshold study. The 1989 Paraguay earthquake, for which no T waves were detected, is shown as the black star.


than that of the 1982 Bonin Island and a fortiori 1990 Sakhalin “detached” events, we decided to investigate the threshold of detectability of T waves from deep Argentine earthquakes. Specifically, we focus on five events listed in Table 2, and investigate their T waves, primarily at the Hawaiian station HUL. Fig. 8 compares the T waveshapes recorded at HUL, and Fig. 9 verifies that the conversion location is fundamentally similar for all observed T waves. Further details are given in Appendix A. This experiment suggests a threshold of detectability for T waves from deep earthquakes in northern Argentina recorded at HUL of between $M_0 = 2 \times 10^{26}$ and $5.6 \times 10^{25}$ dyn cm. A practical threshold may then be $10^{25}$ dyn cm, but unfortunately, no CMT solution exists to fill in the moment gap and refine this estimate. We note that this threshold is significantly higher than in other subduction zones, such as Kuril and Bonin–Mariana.

Of course, the final amplitude of the T wave is controlled in part by a number of unknown factors, such as small scale lateral heterogeneity at the source and conversion point, and high-frequency behavior of the earthquake’s source time function, which may not be exactly repeated between events. Thus, an exact correlation between the observability of T waves and seismic moment (or magnitude $m_b$) would not necessarily be expected. In this sense, our experiment is designed to provide no more than a general order of magnitude of the moment threshold under which T waves from a given region are not expected to emerge from background noise at a given station.

In this context, the absence of T waves from the 1989 Paraguay earthquake may simply express the small size of the event. However, the actual detachment of a blob of seismogenic material cannot be ruled out.
4.3.2. Other detached events

Again in this region, we investigated systematically the possible existence of additional outboard deep events. The NEIC catalogue (1964–1998) shows three such shocks in the immediate vicinity of the 1989 Paraguay earthquake, on 25 February 1964, 18 February 1965 and 15 April 1969 (Fig. 10). In addition, a historical earthquake in 1926 is given an ISS location 370 km East of the active WBZ, and a lone 1975 earthquake is listed by the NEIC and the ISC 500 km South of the termination of deep seismicity in South America. Finally, a small event on 10 June 1964 is given an “inboard” deep location, West of the WBZ. We relocated all these shocks, using the techniques of Wysession et al. (1991), with all details given in Appendix A. Only the 1969 earthquake is a genuine outboard event. Its final location, 150 km North of the 1989 epicenter, and at a comparable depth (550 km), suggests a similar origin for the two events. The tomographic model of Engdahl et al. (1995) (their Fig. 3f) suggests that around 23°S, the slab sags horizontally before partially penetrating the lower mantle, a behavior not repeated along their “e” profile at 20°S. This could explain that the only known outlying events are at that latitude. The exact mechanism for the distortion of the slab remains unclear. One can simply note (Fig. 10) that the 1989 event takes place ahead of a lateral gap in deep seismicity, which could argue for a local warping of the slab, similar to a curtain fold; this is not the case, however, for the 1969 earthquake.

4.3.3. Bolivia and Colombia

We recall here the study of the 1994 Bolivian deep earthquake in Paper I, which proved that the slab is continuous, both laterally and vertically in that region. We also refer to Paper I for an analysis of the lone T wave, detected at Reao, from the 1970 Colombian earthquake, which we showed, was generated by a \( P \rightarrow T \) conversion along the great circle path from the epicenter. Apart from traces on the analog record at RKT, and despite a systematic search of WWSSN and other databases, we failed to identify T phases.
from that event at any other Pacific receiver location. A search for T waves from the small 1997 earthquakes was similarly unsuccessful, so that no definite conclusion can be reached as to the nature of the material separating the three deep hypocenters (1921, 1922, 1970) from the intermediate depth WBZ.

The best argument for mechanical continuity would be the continuous subduction of the Farallon, later Nazca, plate for the past 50 million years; the absence of S → T conversion from the 1970 earthquake can be ascribed to an unfavorable radiation coefficient at the source ($R_{SV} = 0.07$); note also that the $P$ axes for the two historical events are only 16 and 26$^\circ$ away from the one in 1970, and that this common direction would correspond to down-dip compressional stress release in the model of a continuous slab. As for the 1997 earthquakes, they may be simply too small ($m_0 \leq 4.8$) to excite detectable $T$ phases. On the other hand, the tomographic results of Engdahl et al. (1995) fail to image the slab through the transition zone, and Grand’s (1994) tomographic model is inconclusive.

4.4. Java

The deep seismicity under the Sunda arc has been described in detail by Kirby et al. (1996). Deep earthquakes, absent from Sumatra, are present East of the Sunda Straits at 107$^\circ$E, but a gap in seismicity exists West of 115$^\circ$E, between 338 and 470 km. This gap ends significantly shallower than in Argentina. We have verified through relocation (Wysession et al., 1991) the depth of the limiting event (1 June 1997, $h = 470 \pm 17$ km). Based on tomographic studies, Widiyantoro et al. (1997) have proposed necking of the slab under western Java, in a region which would grossly coincide with the seismicity gap. We sought to analyze T waves from deep shocks from this zone; unfortunately, the eastern part of the gap is masked from many sites by Western Australia; in addition, the instrumentation of the Indian Ocean is only very recent, so that we could study only one event, on 19 January 1997.

4.4.1. Java, 19 January 1997: 5.03$^\circ$S, 108.40$^\circ$E; 651 km, $M_0 = 1.7 \times 10^{13}$ dyn cm

T wave arrivals could be identified at two stations: Cocos Island and Hope (South Georgia). The records at COCO are characterized by two strong arrivals, which can be interpreted as $P \rightarrow T$ and $S \rightarrow T$ conversions, from a scatterer south of Cape Ujungkulang, at 7.1$^\circ$S; 105.6$^\circ$E. This interpretation is, however, non-unique since other points along the coast of Java would also be adequate converters, without the need to invoke $S \rightarrow T$ conversions (Fig. 11). Furthermore, the T waves recorded at COCO are characterized by very low frequencies (down to 1.7 Hz), which should not propagate in a standard Pacific SOFAR channel, but may be explained if the channel is less well defined, i.e. extends over a greater depth range and features faster axial velocities, estimated locally at 1489 m/s (Levitus et al., 1994). Such low frequencies then significantly diminish the power of the method as a proxy for the continuity of high-Q material along the 5 fragment of the path.

The HOPE record also shows two arrivals, at 04:52:48 and 04:54:51 GMT, the second one being much stronger (Fig. 11(e and f)). Unfortunately, travel-time corrections to Hope are practically impossible to assess given that the 12,700 km great-circle path grazes the Kerguelen Plateau and Antarctica, and samples extreme southern latitudes where the morphology of the SOFAR channel is expected to be strongly altered, with minimum velocities being probably lower but largely uncharted (Levitus et al., 1994). This in turn would suggest off great-circle propagation and quite possibly reflections.

As for the use of spectral properties of S waves, we could not find digital stations in Java sufficiently removed from active volcanic structures to compute a meaningful estimate of $Q_s$.

In order to obtain some quantitative assessment of the slab’s continuity, we investigated the distribution of deep focal mechanisms both in the zone featuring the seismic gap (West of 115$^\circ$E) and in the portion with continuous seismicity with depth, defined here as between 115 and 121$^\circ$E (we do not include mechanisms farther East into the Banda Sea, where the regime of subduction is significantly different). We consider all 47 published CMT solutions deeper than 500 km, including those for 1957–1976 inverted by Huang et al. (1997, 1998), 15 of which belong to the Eastern group, and 32 to the Western one. For each group, we calculate a composite focal mechanism, by summing the moment tensors and solving for the best-fitting double-couple (without weighting the solutions according to moment, which in essence would
Fig. 11. The deep Java earthquake of 19 January 1997. (a) Map of the proposed paths to Coco Island (COCO). (b) and (c) Modeling of COCO arrivals as conversions at the Sunda shelf. (d) Spectrograms of the COCO record. Note the significantly low frequency of the $P$ phase. (e) and (f) Spectrograms of records obtained at Hope, South Georgia. The scale for spectral amplitudes is 20 times smaller for (e) than for (f).
keep only the contribution of the largest earthquake in the dataset). The results show that the stress release in the Western group remains coherent, and essentially expresses the release of quasi-vertical compressional stress, as it does in the Eastern group. These two directions are separated in space by only 13°. Furthermore, the average best double-couples are separated by a rotation of 28° about a steeply dipping axis, in the formalism of Kagan (1991). This indicates that the predominant down-dip compressional stress believed to control the strain release of the deepest earthquakes is transmitted in a coherent fashion through the seismic gap.

4.5. New Zealand

We investigate here the cluster of deep New Zealand earthquakes, originally discovered by Adams (1963). Table 3 is a list of apparently detached events, updated to June 1999, on the basis of the latest available catalogues. Since the 1998 event has not yet been processed by the ISC, we relocated it based on the dataset available from the EDR files. The essential point is that the depth of the event is perfectly controlled by regional stations, at a value of 600 ± 10 km. Thus, a total of 10 deep New Zealand earthquakes are now confirmed from teleseismic records. None of the six recent shocks were large enough to be processed by the Harvard CMT project, and so, no modern focal mechanism is available. Adams (1963) proposed a thrust mechanism for the 1960 event, based on worldwide first motion readings. Fig. 12 shows a map view and a cross-section along strike of the relevant seismicity. T waves are routinely recorded from those and other deep Kermadec events at Pacific stations, such as TBI, PPT, RPN, and even KIP, often showing complex wavetrains, suggesting multipathing. However, the interpretation of their arrival times is made difficult, if not outright impossible, by the presence of numerous shallow structures, including the Chatham Rise, which provide a large selection of potential converters. Consequently, the identification of possible S → T converted phases at distant receivers is not a realistic means of investigating the structure of the slab.

Rather, we use here the spectral ratio technique described in Paper I, based on three-component broad-band data at station South Kariro (SNZO). IRIS records are only available for the three events of 8 July 1992, 8 April 1994 and 4 July 1998. The records of the small event of 1992 have poor signal-to-noise ratios, and only the other two events could be studied (Figs. 13 and 14). Over the exact same frequency range (1–3 Hz), we obtain an average estimate of $Q_\mu = 300$, which is very significantly lower than found in Paper I for Bolivia-northern Chile, where a continuous path had been documented by S → T conversions. By contrast with this situation, we surmise that the path from the deep seismic cluster to SNZO is not made of continuous cold-slab material. This value of $Q_\mu$ is only an estimate, but we note that the results for the two events are surprisingly consistent, despite different waveshapes, suggestive of different focal geometries. We propose that this value represents a weighted average of $Q^{-1}$ along

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (D M J Y)</th>
<th>Origin time (GMT)</th>
<th>Epicenter</th>
<th>Depth (km)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 MAR (083) 1953</td>
<td>03:37:13.0</td>
<td>−38.9</td>
<td>174.5</td>
<td>5.0 M$_L$</td>
<td>Adams (1963)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 MAR (083) 1960</td>
<td>01:32:18.0</td>
<td>−39.05</td>
<td>174.87</td>
<td>6.25 M$_L$</td>
<td>Adams (1963)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 MAR (083) 1960</td>
<td>01:36:35.7</td>
<td>−39.10</td>
<td>175.07</td>
<td>6.2 M$_L$</td>
<td>Adams (1963)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 FEB (038) 1975</td>
<td>15:19:43.0</td>
<td>−39.27</td>
<td>174.26</td>
<td>4.9 M$_L$</td>
<td>Adams and Ferris (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 FEB (057) 1992</td>
<td>00:03:33.7</td>
<td>−38.896</td>
<td>174.693</td>
<td>4.0 m$_b$</td>
<td>ISC on line Bulletin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 MAY (125) 1993</td>
<td>03:51:19.2</td>
<td>−38.903</td>
<td>174.440</td>
<td>4.6 M$_L$</td>
<td>ISC on line Bulletin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 APR (098) 1994</td>
<td>04:06:54.8</td>
<td>−39.194</td>
<td>174.455</td>
<td>3.8 m$_b$</td>
<td>ISC on line Bulletin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 JUL (165) 1998</td>
<td>07:47:47.5</td>
<td>−39.16</td>
<td>174.510</td>
<td>4.3 m$_b$</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 12. (a) Map of New Zealand seismicity emphasizing the deep cluster. The events are coded according to depth ($h \leq 75$ km: small, light gray dots; $75 < h \leq 300$ km: medium gray dots; $h > 300$ km: black dots). The deep events listed in Table 3 are shown as larger bull’s eye symbols. Focal mechanism is after Adams (1963). The triangles are shallower events also investigated. (b) Along-strike cross-section of the seismicity. Both frames use unrelocated NEIC hypocenters.

A path composed of one-third cold, seismogenic, slab ($\sim 200$ km with $Q_{\mu} \approx 800$), and two-thirds background mantle ($\sim 400$ km with $Q_{\mu} \approx 250$, a possible value for the bottom of the transition zone (Okal and Jo, 1990)), all these numbers being tentative.

Finally, we note that Adams and Ferris (1976) had proposed the identification of a possible structural
transition, based on intermediate arrivals observed between \( P \) and \( S \) at New Zealand stations from events in the deep cluster, which they interpreted as \( P \rightarrow S \) conversions. Fig. 13 shows that such an arrival may be present on the SNZO record of the 1994 event. Being most prominent on the NS component (naturally polarized as \( SV \)), it could represent a \( P \rightarrow S \) conversion, in contrast to the \( S \rightarrow P \) phase claimed by Adams and Ferris (1976). Its timing, 20 s after \( P \), would correspond to conversion two-thirds of the way to the station, or at \( \sim 200 \text{ km} \), which is approximately the local depth extent of mainstream seismicity. This interpretation is, however, non-unique since the conversion could for example occur as part of an internal reflection inside a mechanically continuous slab. Indeed, we have found arrivals intermediate
between $P$ and $S$ on vertical records at SNZO of shallower, mainstream events (shown as triangles in Fig. 12). Such phases would more precisely match the description given in Adams and Ferris (1976), but would violate their model because of the continuity of the slab to those shallow sources. Finally, the $P \rightarrow S$ conversion reported here is absent for the 1998 event, but this could be due to a different focal mechanism.

To a large extent, the body of data available from the few and small deep New Zealand earthquakes is still inconclusive. However, the following arguments would favor a mechanical separation between the deep cluster and the mainstream WBZ: the relatively low value of $Q_0$ on the near-vertical path to SNZO, the tentative $P \rightarrow S$ converted phase on the 1994 record, and the down-dip tensional character of the mechanism ($\phi = 350^\circ; \delta = 71^\circ; \lambda = 79^\circ$) determined by Adams (1963) for the 1960 earthquake. In particular, the first two observations are generally consistent with the mechanical termination of the slab at the depth of cessation of mainstream seismicity. Finally, we note that the tomographic results of van der Hilst and Snieder (1996) would suggest the existence of a body of slow wavespeed between depths of 250 and 500 km at 40°S (their Fig. 12e).
4.6. Vityaz

The Vityaz deep cluster, under the North Fiji Basin, was studied in detail by Okal and Kirby (1998). Since this study was completed, 34 more deep earthquakes (spanning July 1996–June 1999) have been given preliminary locations in the cluster. While the precise depths of these events have not been determined, their epicenters generally fit the several groups identified by Okal and Kirby (1998); the two available new CMT solutions are of small moment (\(1 \times 10^{24}\) and \(2 \times 10^{24}\) dyn cm); one mechanism is normal faulting, the other strike-slip. Finally, an intermediate shock given at 200 km under the North Fiji Basin, on 14 November 1997, is most probably a shallow crustal earthquake in the North Fiji Basin: the dataset of 10 stations is found to have no depth resolution.

Despite the availability of good records at KIP, PET, GUMO, SNCC and TKK, we failed to identify \(T\) phases from the largest recent deep Vityaz event, on 13 April 1995. This suggests that high-frequency energy is not transmitted to the earth’s surface North of the Vityaz trench, where adequate converters would exist in the form of numerous shallow bathymetric structures. This supports Okal and Kirby’s (1998) conclusion, namely that the Vityaz seismic cluster resides in a severed piece of lithospheric slab, orphaned from the Pacific plate after the reorganization of subduction along the Tonga and Vanuatu systems, and having lain recumbent at the bottom of the transition zone ever since.

4.7. Spain

In this relatively complex tectonic province, the main unanswered question remains the origin of the deep seismicity. Any integrated tectonic model should also explain a growing body of geophysical data at shallower depths, in particular intermediate depth seismicity.

A large scale tomographic experiment by Blanco and Spakman (1993) has imaged a possibly continuous slab, extending in a generally SW–NE direction, between depths of 200 and 670 km. Its structure, with \(P\) wave speeds anomalies of \(+1.5\%\), would be compatible with subduction of oceanic lithosphere, and its size much larger than the 100 km scale, which Grimison and Chen (1986) argued was the maximum width of a paleo-ocean separating Europe and Africa from which to drain such material. Blanco and Spakman (1993) also note that the mapped anomaly does not extend to the surface, and thus speculate that the imaged slab may have been detached, possibly as early as the Miocene, whereas Grimison and Chen (1986) suggest sinking over only a few million years.

The focal mechanism of the 1954 earthquake was worked out by Chung and Kanamori (1976), Udías et al. (1976) and Bufton et al. (1991). Their solutions are generally similar, with the azimuth of dip of the \(P\) axis varying from \(N87^\circ E\) to \(N61^\circ E\), only in fair agreement with a down-dip compression in the geometry of Blanco and Spakman (1993), which would require a more southerly azimuth. The small events of 1973 and 1990 were also worked out by Bufton et al. (1991, 1997), who found mechanisms close, but not exactly similar, to that in 1954.

At shallower depths, we refer to the recent relocations by Seber et al. (1996) and Mezcua and Rueda (1997), who mapped the main body of intermediate depth seismicity as spanning a 140 km long segment oriented N10°E across the Sea of Alborán, from Spain to the northern coast of Morocco. This places its northern end 50 km southeast of the epicenters of the deep shocks (Fig. 15a). Seber et al. (1996) also document strong seismic attenuation in a lower crust–upper mantle body extending at depths of 20–60 km under the Sea of Alborán, and underlain by a more rigid and seismically active mantle structure which they interpret as a fragment of continental (Spanish) lithosphere, delaminated below asthenospheric material under the Sea, that they relate to Neogene volcanism in the Sea of Alborán (Bellon, 1981). On the other hand, Lonergan and White (1997) question the generation of melt in this geometry, and Morales et al. (1999) present a model supported by local \(P\) and \(S\) wave seismic tomography and gravity data, suggesting active continental subduction under the Sea of Alborán.

In this general framework, the question remains of the exact source of seismogenic material for the deep Spanish earthquakes, of its history, and of its relationship, if any, to the subducting or delaminating segments carrying the present intermediate seismicity. It should be emphasized that the relative geographical offset between the intermediate and deep seismicity is not compatible with a single subducting structure along the geometry outlined by Blanco and Spak-
man (1993); rather, such an association would require subduction with a strike of \(\sim N10^\circ E\), which would be more in line with the suggestion (Royden, 1993; Lonergan and White, 1997) of the westward rollback of a short eastward-dipping subduction zone. In such a geometry, a cold slab continuous from the deep foci to the surface could provide efficient channeling of high frequency energy, with the possibility of a conversion into \(T\) waves at the eastern shores of the Gulf of Cádiz. We therefore attempted to identify \(T\) phases received from the deep Spanish events.

We could not find any \(T\) phase records from any of the four deep Spanish shocks. The dataset inspected included the 1954 short-period record at Bermuda, the available WWSSN collection of Atlantic stations for the 1973 earthquake, and all available IRIS time series for the 1990 and 1993 earthquakes.

This negative search remains, however, inconclusive, given on the one hand the low quality of instrumentation for the large 1954 shock, and on the other hand the extremely small size (\(m_b \leq 4.1\)) of the more recent earthquakes.

We then studied spectral amplitude ratios for a vertical path from the deep 1990 event to a station in Andalucia. An analog record adequate for hand-digitizing and processing was obtained at station
ALOJ, for which the quasi-vertical path would be expected to sample the structure imaged by Blanco and Spakman (1993). We obtain \( Q\mu = 479 \), a value intermediate between that of a typical upper mantle average (200) and the much higher values (800–1000) characteristic of propagation through actively subducting cold slabs (Paper I; Mele, 1998). Being higher than the typical mantle average, it requires sustained propagation through cold material, and also a path avoiding the asthenosphere. A tentative model involving a 440 km path in (Blanco and Spakman, 1993) structure, assumed to have \( Q\mu = 700 \) (this lower value reflecting possible reheating after detachment), would require \( Q\mu = 283 \) for the complementary segment from 200 km depth to the surface, which incidentally samples the zone of intermediate depth seismicity, and remains significantly North of the attenuating body, as mapped in Fig. 2 of Seber et al. (1996). The estimate \( Q\mu = 283 \) would be in the range of values for continental lithosphere, given in particular the uncertainty on the thermal structure of a subducted or delaminated fragment (Mitchell, 1995).

It is clear that these results remain very tentative, and that further experimentation, hopefully with digital data acquired during future deep Spanish earthquakes, is warranted.

5. Conclusion

Having examined in detail “detached” or isolated deep earthquakes in eight different environments, we can draw the following conclusions.

1. \( T \) phases are routinely generated by the deepest earthquakes, as documented for example in our studies of the Sea of Okhotsk, northern Argentina or the Izu-Bonin systems. The threshold of detection at teleseismic distances varies significantly with the relevant subduction zone; we have found it to be particularly low in Java and much higher in South America. This variation is probably related to the morphology of the converting slope for each subduction zone.

2. In two cases out of three (Sakhalin and Bonin) of detached earthquakes occurring outboard of well-documented WBZs with abundant seismicity, we offer proof of mechanical continuity with the subducting slab. The evidence includes \( S \rightarrow T \) conversions, and a string of seismic activity at lower magnitudes. In the third case (Paraguay), we fail to document \( T \) phases, but we take note that the earthquake falls below the threshold of detectability from nearby deep shocks; we document an even smaller outboard event 145 km to the North. In general, our results are also compatible with tomographic images, and suggest that the slab undergoes warping, resulting in an offset of the seismicity, rather than tearing and detachment of a lump. In Sakhalin, this is probably related to the cusp in subduction at the Hokkaido corner; in Bonin, we can only make the intriguing observation that the fold in the slab seems to correlate geographically with the presence of the uplifted Bonin Islands at the same latitude along the subduction zone. In Paraguay, we can offer no insight into the mechanism of warping.

3. In subduction zones where a depth gap in seismicity is observed, the presence of \( S \rightarrow T \) conversions proves that the slab is indeed mechanically continuous through the gap, the latter expressing only a change in the seismogenic character of the material, rather than the physical separation of a deep blob. In Argentina and Bolivia, this is upheld by the consistency of focal mechanisms, and by tomographic imaging. The temporary loss of seismogenic potential (between 337 and 502 km in Argentina) can be ascribed to an age discontinuity in the subducting material, in the model of Engbrotsen and Kirby (1992). In Java, where tomography suggests necking of the slab, our \( T \) wave results support a continuous slab, without requiring it, the best evidence for mechanical continuity being the coherence of focal mechanisms for the deep events below the gap.

4. The cases of Colombia and Spain are somewhat comparable regarding the extreme isolation of the seismicity, mostly expressed by very large shocks, but differ in relation to small events, present in Spain at the same location as the large ones, but apparently offset to the South in Colombia. Also, Colombia is part of a well-documented major subducting system, whereas Spain is not; on the other hand, a large fast slab is imaged by tomography under Spain, but is not detected under Colombia. In both cases, an argument can be made for
mechanical continuity based on the geometry of stress release: Bina (1997) has modeled downward compressional stresses at the bottom of sinking slabs from the integral of buoyancy forces resulting from the retardation of phase transformations in the cold interior of slabs. A continuous slab, extending several hundred kilometers up from the seismic foci, would provide a consistent domain over which these forces can be integrated in a coherent fashion, whereas a small blob extending only 100 km or less around the seismogenic zone, would not. Thus, it is probable that both Colombia and Spain have a mechanically and thermally continuous slab, since they both feature consistent down-dip compressional stresses. Additional evidence supporting this model exists but its nature is different: in Spain, it comes from tomography and high Q values; in Colombia, mainly from the history of subduction of the Pacific lithosphere and from the geometry of stress release. Both interpretations leave substantial questions unanswered: in Spain, the origin of the material, as discussed by Grimison and Chen (1986), and the orientation of the stress with respect to the slab imaged by tomography; in Colombia, the absence of any detectable tomographic signal, and of low-level seismicity around the 1970 focus (Okal and Bina, 1994, 2001).

5. On the other hand, the Vityaz cluster, where abundant seismicity occurs at relatively low moment levels, and with incoherent geometries of stress release, is most easily explained as a severed piece of lithosphere lying recumbent on the top of the lower mantle, as proposed by Okal and Kirby (1998). The failure to detect any T waves from these deep earthquakes would support this model, but a major difficulty resides in the thermal state of the severed fragment which is expected to be cooling too fast to preserve metastable olivine as a candidate seismogenic material (Van Ark et al., 1999).

6. This leaves the case of the deep New Zealand earthquakes as perhaps the most intriguing and enigmatic detached events. The extreme complexity of the local coastlines makes it impossible to interpret these T waves. A significant body of evidence consisting of the lone available focal mechanism, tomographic results, relatively low Q values, and the tentative observation of converted phases, would support the concept of a mechanically detached lump of seismogenic material, but its origin and past history remain elusive.
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Appendix A

We present here a detailed discussion of various events and records used in this study.

A.1. Kuril-Sakhalin subduction zone

A.1.1. Sea of Okhotsk, 30 August 1970; 52.4°N, 151.69°E, 645 km; \(M_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{27} \) dyn cm

This deep event, studied by, among others, Strelitz (1980) and Sasatani (1980), and more recently, Huang and Okal (1998), is one of the largest recorded at depth under the Sea of Okhotsk. We document T waves at four short-period stations in the Pacific Basin: KIP, AFI and RAR (WWSSN), and PMO (Rangiroa). Note that "T wave channels" were not yet operational in Polynesia in 1970. Records at RAR and AFI are arguably faint, but their spectral characteristics establish them beyond any possible doubt as high-frequency waves without counterparts in the time series for that day, and thus as T phases. That they are at all legible
Fig. 16. Examples of T waves recorded on short-period channels of the WWSSN following the large 1970 Sea of Okhotsk deep shock. Top: record at Kipapa, Oahu. The duration of the window is 97 s and the original magnification of the record 12,500. The T wave is clearly apparent three traces below (i.e. 45 min after) the prominent body waves. Bottom: record at Rarotonga. The duration is 56 s. Note the unmistakably high-frequency character of the arrival, which emerges from the noise level despite a particularly mediocre gain at that station (originally 6250 at 1 Hz). The geometry of the conversion is shown on the bottom left map (similar to Fig. 3) and its nature as $S \rightarrow T$ established in the diagrams at bottom right (similar to Fig. 2).
on WWSSN records written at the mediocre magnifications used (6250 at RAR; Fig. 16) suggests a very strong acoustic wave. The record at KIP is clearer, with an estimated peak-to-peak amplitude of ground motion of 7 μm, a remarkable figure for a wave having travelled at least 40 km inside the structure of Oahu before reaching the station.

While in principle, it would be possible to interpret each arrival as resulting from a \( P \rightarrow T \) conversion at an individual point of the Kuril coast (ranging from motion of 7 μm), it is possible to model all four arrivals by diffraction of an \( S \) wave incident at 49.5°, 156.3°E, off Paramushir Island (arrow in Fig. 16). This model is supported by a very favorable radiation of \( SV \) energy by the source (arrow in Fig. 16). This model is supported by a very favorable radiation of \( SV \) energy by the source, at PMO. The arrival time recorded at PMO shows two arrivals of fair quality, which can be interpreted as \( P \rightarrow T \) and \( S \rightarrow T \) conversions, respectively, off Shikotan Island (43.5°N, 147°E) and Nemuro Peninsula (42.9°N, 145.7°E).

A 1.2. Sea of Okhotsk, 5 September 1970; 52.1°N, 159.69°E; 561 km; \( M_0 = 6.4 \times 10^{25} \text{ dyn cm} \)

For this aforesaid event, we could find only one \( T \) phase, at PMO. The travel time required a much more southerly \( S \rightarrow T \) conversion at 46.5°N, 151.5°E, off the Bussol' Straits, which is easily explained by the difference in focal mechanism between the two shocks (Huang et al., 1997).

A 1.3. Sea of Okhotsk, 29 January 1971; 51.73°N, 150.69°E; 524 km; \( M_0 = 2.5 \times 10^{25} \text{ dyn cm} \)

We obtained two clear arrivals at KIP, separated by 108 s, and one at RAR. The record at PMO was noisy and could not be used. The \( T \) phase at KIP is interpreted as converted to \( P \) on the northern coast of Kauai, and corrected for the subsequent 199 km to the station. The travel times are consistent with a common \( S \rightarrow T \) scatterer to KIP and RAR at 46.8°N, 152.3°E, near the Bussol' Straits, and a conversion farther north, off Czenzenstr Straits (48.3°N, 153.9°E) for the \( P \rightarrow T \) phase at KIP.

A 1.4. Sea of Okhotsk, 21 December 1973; 51.84°N, 151.75°E; 545 km; \( M_0 = 2.1 \times 10^{25} \text{ dyn cm} \)

We read two arrivals at PMO, and a clear one at KIP. They are interpreted as \( P \rightarrow T \) and \( S \rightarrow T \) conversions to PMO at Bussol' Straits (46.6°N, 151.5°E).

We obtained two clear arrivals at KIP, separated by 81 and 79 s, respectively. They are readily interpreted as conversions from a \( P \rightarrow T \) scatterer at 45°N, 149.7°E (off Iiunup), and an \( S \rightarrow T \) one farther south off the Catherine Straits, at 44°N, 148°E.

A 1.5. Sea of Okhotsk, 21 June 1978; 47.98°N, 149.01°E; 402 km; \( M_0 = 6.5 \times 10^{25} \text{ dyn cm} \)

We obtained \( T \) phase doublets at both PMO and PPT, separated by 81 and 79 s, respectively. They are readily interpreted as conversions from a \( P \rightarrow T \) scatterer at 45°N, 149.7°E (off Iiunup), and an \( S \rightarrow T \) one farther south off the Catherine Straits, at 44°N, 148°E.

A 1.6. Sea of Okhotsk, 10 July 1976; 49.36°N, 145.72°E; 421 km; \( M_0 = 1.9 \times 10^{25} \text{ dyn cm} \)

We obtained two clear arrivals at KIP, separated by 81 and 79 s, respectively. They are readily interpreted as conversions from a \( P \rightarrow T \) scatterer at 45°N, 149.7°E (off Iiunup), and an \( S \rightarrow T \) one farther south off the Catherine Straits, at 44°N, 148°E.

A 1.7. Sea of Okhotsk, 1 February 1984; 49.10°N, 146.31°E; 581 km; \( M_0 = 3.6 \times 10^{25} \text{ dyn cm} \)

A double arrival at PMO is readily interpreted as \( P \rightarrow T \) and \( S \rightarrow T \) conversions South of Nemuro (42.6°N, 145°E). The record at TBI could not be easily interpreted.

A 1.8. Sea of Okhotsk, 18 May 1987; 49.12°N, 147.39°E; 552 km; \( M_0 = 1.7 \times 10^{25} \text{ dyn cm} \)

This earthquake generated exceptionally long \( T \) wavetrains at PMO. The first arrival is readily identified as a \( P \rightarrow T \) conversion at Bussol' Straits, but the interpretation of the later arrivals requires multipathing through many conversion points along the southern Kuril arc. Because we cannot assertively demonstrate the occurrence of an \( S \rightarrow T \) conversion, we show this event as an open star in Fig. 4.

A 1.9. Primorye, 21 July 1994; 42.3°N, 132.9°E; 471 km; \( M_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{25} \text{ dyn cm} \)

Finally, we complete here the investigation of this strong event under the Primorye province of eastern Russia, whose record at RPN was used in the introduction to illustrate our methodology. Most other stations in the Pacific Basin recorded \( T \) phases. We identified \( S \rightarrow T \) conversions at KOS, NAU, RAR, TET, PMO and RPN, with NAU and RPN showing earlier \( S \rightarrow T \) phases. The conversion points are located on a 150 km stretch of the northernmost shore of Honshu (Fig. 3).
A.2 Bonin–Mariana arc

We start with a discussion of events located at a similar latitude to the 1982 ‘detached’ earthquake, but in the mainstream WBZ.

A.2.1. Bonin Islands, 3 May 1991; 28.14° N, 138.94° E; 477 km; \( M_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{26} \) dyn cm

The \( T \) wave channel at PMO saturated, but the regular seismic channel recorded a superb wavepacket, composed of two arrivals, generally similar to those from the 1982 event shown in Fig. 6. They are easily interpreted as \( P \rightarrow T \) and \( S \rightarrow T \) conversions at either Iwo Jima or Muko Jima.

Despite significant background noise at Easter Island (EEP), spectrogram techniques extract a \( T \) arrival at 04:48:35 GMT, in the 3–4 Hz frequency band. This signal is interpreted as an \( S \rightarrow T \) conversion at Haha Jima, reflected on the northwestern Hawaiian Islands.

A.2.2. Bonin Islands, 13 May 1977; 28.12° N, 139.73° E; 446 km; \( M_0 = 6.1 \times 10^{25} \) dyn cm

Results are similar to those of the previous shock: a double arrival at PMO interpreted as \( P \rightarrow T \) and \( S \rightarrow T \) conversions at either Iwo Jima or Chichi Jima.

A.2.3. Bonin Islands, 31 January 1973; 28.18° N, 138.86° E; 506 km; \( M_0 = 2.5 \times 10^{26} \) dyn cm

We could obtain only one record, at PMO, on the regular seismic channel. A prominent arrival at 22:36:45, corresponding to \( P \rightarrow T \) conversion at Iwo Jima or Chichi Jima, is followed by sustained high-frequency energy, from which no distinctive second arrival can be extracted. This event is shown as a yellow star in Fig. 6.

- To the North of the Bonin Islands, we examine the following events:
  - Izu trench, 6 March 1984; 29.60° N, 139.11° E; 446 km; \( M_1 = 1.4 \times 10^{21} \) dyn cm

  This earthquake (the largest deep CMT solution in the Bonin–Mariana arc) generated spectacular \( T \) phases in Polynesia, which saturated the \( T \) wave channel at PMO for 10 min. The regular channels allow the identification of the strongest arrival at 03:59:35, with a precursor triggering saturation of the \( T \) wave channel at 03:58:12. These two times are readily interpreted as \( P \rightarrow T \) and \( S \rightarrow T \) paths converted either on the northern Bonin or northern Volcano Islands. Similarly, a powerful arrival at RKT is well matched by a \( P \rightarrow T \) conversion at Muko Jima, the northern-most Bonin Island.

A very intense \( T \) phase is present at TBI, even though the station is masked by the western Marshall Islands. The arrival time of the maximum amplitude, 04:12:35 GMT, could correspond to a reflection on Johnston Atoll (16.7° N, 169.5° W) for an \( S \rightarrow T \) conversion at Haha Jima.

- Bonin Islands, 16 March 1996; 28.98° N, 138.94° E; 477 km; \( M_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{26} \) dyn cm

Despite the size of this event, we could not identify \( T \) wave signals above noise level at any of the following stations: KIP, RAR, SNCC, PPT. A strong signal at SCZ, at 23:44:57, could be interpreted as a \( T \) wave converted from \( S \) at Haha Jima at the southern end of the Bonin Islands (26.3° N, 142.4° E). This interpretation remains speculative in the absence of corroborative signals in California (e.g. SNCC). We obtained a distinct doublet at WK30, readily interpreted as \( P \rightarrow T \) and \( S \rightarrow T \) converted at Muko Jima; these arrivals cannot be explained by conversion at the Volcano group. This event is shown as the purple star in Fig. 6.

- Bonin Islands, 5 August 1990; 29.48° N, 137.50° E; 520 km; \( M_0 = 5.7 \times 10^{25} \) dyn cm

We obtained a small but distinctive doublet on the \( T \) wave channel at PMO, interpreted as \( P \rightarrow T \) and \( S \rightarrow T \) conversions at either Iwo Jima or Muko Jima. No other signal could be convincingly read at other stations. We show this event as a yellow star in Fig. 6.

- Izu Islands, 11 October 1991; 32.12° N, 138.02° E; 364 km; \( M_1 = 2.5 \times 10^{26} \) dyn cm

This large event, about half-way between the Bonin Islands and Japan, produced a singular pattern of \( T \) waves. The PMO record in Polynesia shows a series of arrivals, the first one being the familiar \( S \rightarrow T \) conversion at Haha Jima, but the most intense one, at 17:40:29 GMT, being too late to fit conversion anywhere along the islands or shallow seamounts of the Izu–Bonin arc. Rather, it can be successfully modeled by...
a $P \rightarrow T$ conversion off the southeastern extremity of Honshu. Similarly, the main doublet of arrivals at RAR is best explained by $P \rightarrow T$ conversions on the coasts of Iwase (southern Honshu) and farther west off Murotozaki (Shikoku). This event is shown as the green star in Fig. 6.

- To the South of the Bonin Islands, we investigate the following events:
  - **Mariana Islands, 28 May 1979**: 25.94°N, 142.66°E; M6.8 km; $M_0 = 5.5 \times 10^{20}$ dyn cm
    A very weak $T$ wave is readable on the $T$ wave channel at PMO, at 21:58:18, and is readily interpreted as a $P \rightarrow T$ conversion, at either Iwo Jima or Haha Jima. No definitive second arrival can be identified in the subsequent part of the record. We show this event as a brown star in Fig. 6.

A.3. South America

A.3.1. Argentina, 23 June 1991; 26.8°S, 63.3°W; 558 km; $M_0 = 8.6 \times 10^{20}$ dyn cm

This is the largest deep Argentinian event with an available CMT solution. $T$ waves were recorded in Hawaii (HUL), Easter (RPN), and Guam (GUMO). Significant volcanic activity at the Hollister Ridge during the relevant time window (Talandier and Okal, 1996) prevents the use of Polynesian stations. The HUL signal does not lend itself well to a clear separation into $P \rightarrow T$ and $S \rightarrow T$ arrivals (Fig. 8b). Based on comparisons with the other sources in the same region, we interpret the maximum in amplitude as an $S \rightarrow T$ conversion near Talatl, Chile (25.0°S; 70.6°W). The GUMO arrival is composed of a strong wavepacket lasting approximately 150 s, with maximum amplitude at 00:26:03 GMT on 24 June, with a smaller precursor at 00:23:47. We add a 4 s station correction at the receiver. These times then fit a $P \rightarrow T$ conversion at 27.2°S, 71.0°W, and an $S \rightarrow T$ one near Talatl (25.5°S). Finally, the RPN record shows a somewhat tentative arrival at 22:08:29 GMT. After applying a 3 s correction, this time fits an $S \rightarrow T$ conversion at 25.9°S; 70.8°W. Thus, most of the $T$ phases recorded from this event would evolve from scatterers located along the bight offshore from Talatl, and activated by the $S$ wave, 191 s after the origin time of the earthquake.

A.3.2. Argentina, 21 December 1983; 28.2°S, 63.2°W; 602 km; $M_0 = 2.7 \times 10^{20}$ dyn cm

This large event occurred at 12:05:06 GMT and was followed by an aftershock (no CMT available) at 12:15:07 GMT. Both shocks were well recorded at HUL on the southern shore of the Big Island of Hawaii, despite interference with local seismicity. Arrival times for the first event are easily interpreted as $P \rightarrow T$ and $S \rightarrow T$ conversions at 25.3 and 25.0°S, respectively. For the aftershock, the arrival corresponds to the $S \rightarrow T$ conversion; the expected $P \rightarrow T$ conversion is obscured by a local earthquake (Fig. 8a).

A.3.3. Argentina, 29 April 1994; 28.51°S, 63.22°W; 566 km; $M_0 = 2.5 \times 10^{20}$ dyn cm

The $S \rightarrow T$ conversion is well recorded at HUL, while the $P \rightarrow T$ one is much fainter (Fig. 8c). Scatterers are modeled at 23.7°S ($P$) and 24.0°S ($S$). No definitive $T$ phases from this event were identified elsewhere in the Pacific Basin.

A.3.4. Argentina, 15 May 1994; 28.50°S, 63.10°W; 609 km; $M_0 = 2.8 \times 10^{20}$ dyn cm

The HUL record, shown in Fig. 8d, shows a faint $P \rightarrow T$ conversion and a better developed $S \rightarrow T$ one. The scatterers are located to the North at 23.7°S ($P$) and 24.6°S ($S$). In addition, we obtained a clear doublet at KOS, with scatterers at 30.2°S ($P$) and 28.3°S ($S$).
A.3.5. Argentina, 18 August 1994; 26.72° S, 63.42° W; 563 km; $M_0 = 5.6 \times 10^{17}$ dyn cm

With this event, we reach what we regard as the limit of detectability at HUL (Fig. 8e). By analogy with the previous events, we believe that the faint signal at 12:02:03 GMT corresponds to an $S \rightarrow T$ conversion, which would then involve a scatterer at 23.8° S, 70.6° W. We could not extract definite signals at other Pacific sites.

A.3.6. Paraguay, 15 April 1969; 21.86° S, 61.68° W; 541 km; $M_0 = 4.0$

We relocated this small earthquake just 10 km to the North, Monte Carlo relocations with a generous noise ($\sigma_c = 1.5$ s) is indeed an outboard outlier. It remains much too small for a study of any possible earthquake is indeed an outboard outlier. It re-

A.3.7. Argentina, 10 June 1964; 22.5° S, 64.7° W; 480 km; no magnitude reported

The above NEIC location stands out, while the ISC location is in the mainstream WBZ. We also relocate the earthquake in the WBZ, and at a significantly greater depth (22.47° S; 63.49° W; 547 km), a hypocenter close but not identical to that of a foreshock 2 min earlier.

A.3.8. Argentina, 25 February 1964; 22.2° S, 62.6° W; 470 km; $M_0 = 4.1$

The NEIC location is outboard, but the dataset has poor longitudinal resolution. The Monte Carlo ellipse drawn with very little noise ($\sigma_c = 1$ s) intersects the mainstream WBZ. Our preferred location (22.29° S; 62.94° W) also involves a greater depth (506 km).

A.3.9. Argentina, 10 June 1964; 22.5° S, 64.7° W; 480 km; no magnitude reported

The above NEIC location would make this an “inboard outlier” to the West of the WBZ. While our relocation converges on the same solution, the dataset has poor resolution in the ESE–WNW direction, and the 1 s ellipse intersects the mainstream WBZ.

A.3.10. Argentina, 9 February 1926; 27° S, 59.5° W; 540 km; $M_{0,IS} = 6$

The above ISS location would qualify as an outboard hypocenter, although Gutenberg and Richter’s (1954) solution (28° S; 62° W) lies within the WBZ. We relocate the event even farther West (28.57° S; 62.68° W), at the very bottom of the active WBZ (626 km).

A.3.11. Chile, 18 September 1975; 34.15° S, 68.9° W; 429 km; no magnitude reported

If its location were confirmed, this small earthquake would represent an event detached both vertically and horizontally, in a section of the Andean subduction zone where no deep seismicity is otherwise observed. We relocate the earthquake even farther South (35.46° S; 67.67° W; 465 km), but note that the dataset has only 5 P times, with the Monte Carlo ellipse $\sigma_c = 1$ s intersecting the active WBZ at intermediate depths.
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