Plausible megathrust tsunamis in the eastern Mediterranean Sea

1. Introduction

The Hellenic subduction zone is an active tectonic feature of the eastern Mediterranean Sea and represents the subduction of the Nubia (Africa) plate beneath the Aegean (McClusky et al., 2000) (Figure 1). Previous studies have established that the Hellenic subduction zone may represent a modern-day tsunami hazard (Shaw et al., 2008; UNU-EHS et al., 2009). However, most tsunami events generated along this zone have been of small amplitude, with significantly damaging tsunamis documented in the historical record occurring on a much less frequent basis. This frequency makes their study and quantification difficult, especially in the eastern Mediterranean Sea, where recurrence times for large earthquakes are estimated to be of the order of several centuries. For example, over the last 2000 years, the historical record contains only two earthquakes of \( M_w > 8 \) (Shaw and Jackson, 2010). As discussed by Shaw and Jackson (2010), rare large events are thought to occur along steeply dipping splay faults located off the main subduction interface. These splay faults have been inferred to account for 5–15% of the Nubia–Aegean convergence, with the remaining convergence (85–95%) believed to be accommodated by aseismic slip, with small local patches slipping in earthquakes of up to about \( M_w = 6-0 \) (Shaw and Jackson, 2010).

Historical tsunamigenic earthquakes in the eastern Mediterranean have been described in several works (e.g. Ambroseys and Synolakis, 2010; Salamon et al., 2007; Shaw et al., 2008). Most notable among these events are those on 21 July AD 365, most likely located southwest of Crete, on a shallow-dipping thrust fault near the Hellenic Trench, and whose tsunami inundated coastal sites in North Africa, the Adriatic, Greece and Sicily, and on 8 August AD 1303, estimated to have occurred near Rhodes, also causing widespread damage in the eastern Mediterranean (Shaw et al., 2008). Based on partitioning of the seismic and aseismic convergence observed for the AD 365 event in SW Crete to the entire Hellenic subduction zone, Shaw et al. (2008) estimated that an event similar to the AD 365 event may occur about every 800 years, with the last event occurring in AD 1303 (Ambroseys and Synolakis, 2010), indicating that the area may be ripe for a new major event.

Located to the east of the Hellenic subduction zone, the Cyprian Arc forms the plate boundary between the Anatolian plate in the north and the Nubian and Sinai plates in the south (Wdowinski et al., 2006) (Figure 1). As discussed by Wdowinski et al. (2006), the Cyprian Arc is subjected to subduction, collision and transcurrent tectonic processes. Although the Cyprian Arc has experienced little seismicity over the last century (Wdowinski et al., 2006), it is also a source of tsunamigenic earthquakes. The largest tsunamigenic event along the Cyprian Arc occurred on 11 May AD 1222, destroying the city of Paphos (Yolsal et al., 2007). The likelihood of future tsunamigenic events along the Cyprian Arc is not well established.

The purpose of this study was to analyse plausible ‘worst-case’ megathrust \( (M_w > 8) \) tsunamigenic events that could occur along the Hellenic and Cyprian Arcs, and which may impact the western Nile Delta region near the Rosetta promontory and Egypt’s largest coastal city, Alexandria. The magnitude of the analysed events was...
based on consideration of segments of the Hellenic and Cyprian Arcs that could plausibly rupture. The locations and source characteristics represent events that are similar to the largest historical events, and in consideration of potential future events.

2. Tsunami simulation method
Tsunami simulations were performed using the numerical model known as the method of splitting tsunami (Most) (Flouri et al., 2013; PMEL, 2006; Synolakis, 2004; Titov and Synolakis, 1998). Most has been validated and verified extensively using benchmark experiments and field data (Liu et al., 2008; NTHMP, 2012; Synolakis et al., 2008). In Most, tsunami evolution is simulated in three phases: generation, propagation and inundation.

- The generation phase uses the formalism proposed by Okada (1985) to compute static deformation of the ocean floor.
resulting from a finite dislocation representing an earthquake source (Titov and González, 1997).  
- The second phase propagates the generated tsunami across the ocean by solving the depth-integrated non-linear shallow water (NLSW) equations in two spatial dimensions and in time. The numerical solution of these equations uses a finite-difference algorithm that splits the NLSW equations into a pair of systems.  
- The inundation phase simulates the shallow water behaviour of a tsunami by extending the solution of the NLSW equations on land using a moving-boundary scheme to estimate tsunami runup onto dry land (Titov and Synolakis, 1998).  

The bathymetry of the eastern Mediterranean included in the modelling was obtained from GEBCO-08 grid, a global 30 arc second grid of publicly available bathymetric data, downloaded from the website of the general bathymetric chart of the oceans (GEBCO) (IOC et al., 2003). The GEBCO-08 grid was generated by combining quality-controlled ship depth soundings with interpolations between sounding points. While the bathymetry data are relatively coarse, the resolution of the GEBCO data is considered to be sufficient for the modelling analysis at larger depths. Additional high-resolution bathymetry data for the western Nile Delta were also incorporated in the model.  

Three nested grids were used in the analysis (Figure 2). As shown in Table 1, the resolutions of grids A, B and C are 0.0292 arc degrees (≈ 3000 m), 0.004867 arc degrees (≈ 500 m) and 0.00081 arc degrees (≈ 84 m) respectively. The grid resolutions are consistent with the recommendations of the Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory (PMEL, 2006). The progressively higher resolution in the area of interest, from grid A to grid B to grid C (Figure 2), ensures sufficient numerical resolution of non-linear effects as the wave moves from deep water towards the shoreline. The finest resolution grid (grid C) covers the area of the western Nile Delta near the Rosetta promontory, including the area east of Alexandria, Egypt.  

### 3. Megathrust tsunami sources in the eastern Mediterranean Sea

Four plausible megathrust tsunami source events were considered in the analysis (Table 2). Sources S1, S2 and S3 were located along the Hellenic subduction zone and source S4 was located along the NW Cyprian Arc. In the case of S1, a detailed description of the earthquake source has been reported by Shaw et al. (2008) and its parameters were directly input into the algorithm proposed by Okada (1985) (Figure 3(a)). The earthquake of 21 July AD 365 is the only event for which we have historical data, field observations and radiocarbon data (Ambra-seys and Synolakis, 2010). Historical reports for other events have not yet been correlated with field geologic studies or modelling for other major tsunamigenic events in the eastern Mediterranean (Ambra-seys and Synolakis, 2010). For S2, S3 and S4, only a general estimate of the size of historical events is available and thus scaling laws were used (Geller, 1976) to derive appropriate parameters for the seismic sources. The tacit assumption behind this approach (i.e. that the largest earthquakes in the study area follow seismic similitude) may be legitimately questioned since several major earthquakes, such as that in Tohoku in 2011 (Ammon et al., 2011; Fujii et al., 2011; Pollitz et al., 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Grid resolution</th>
<th>Number of grid nodes (longitude × latitude)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>Δx = Δy ≈ 0.0292° (≈ 3000 m)</td>
<td>661 × 349 nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Δx = Δy ≈ 0.004867° (≈ 500 m)</td>
<td>697 × 319 nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>Δx = Δy ≈ 0.00081° (≈ 84 m)</td>
<td>1249 × 613 nodes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Spatial resolution of model grids used in the simulations
and the Cretan event of AD 365 as modelled by Shaw et al. (2008) and Flouri et al. (2013), deviate from the scaling laws. Nevertheless, this approach was used for the simulations as it is the only method available in the absence of detailed geological work regarding historical sources.

In this context, the parameters for S2 are based on those published by Mitsoudis et al. (2012), but with a larger slip, equal to 10 m over a 190 km segment of the eastern Hellenic Arc (Figure 3(b)). The location of source S2 is also different from that analysed by Mitsoudis et al. (2012), and was selected to produce the maximum potential runup along the western Nile Delta region near the Rosetta promontory. In the framework of probable subduction along the entire eastern segment of the Hellenic Arc, the location of S2 is different in this study from that analysed by Mitsoudis et al. (2012), as Mitsoudis et al. (2012) investigated a worst-case scenario for the island of Rhodes. The present study is concerned with tsunami effects at a more distant range, along the western Nile Delta region near the Rosetta promontory, for which source S2 is a worst-case scenario rupturing the entire tectonic segment.

Figure 3. Initial conditions (wave elevation) for sources (a) S1, (b) S2, (c) S3 and (d) S4; source parameters for the fault planes are provided in Table 2
The source parameters for S3 were similar to S2, with the addition of a variable-slip source mechanism with six fault planes (Figure 3(c)). Instead of the uniform 10 m slip assumed in S2, in S3 it was assumed that the central third of the rupture planes slips by 15 m and its outer planes by 7.5 m. This event corresponds to an earthquake with a moment magnitude 8.5.

Along the Cyprian Arc, source S4 is derived from the study reported by Wdowinski et al. (2006). While seismicity is documented along the short southwestern coast of Cyprus and the longer southeastern Cyprus–Larnaca Ridge system, the model of Wdowinski et al. (2006) shows convergence with significantly more normal azimuths and faster rates along the northwestern part of the Cyprian Arc. Source S4 was therefore placed along the NW Cyprian Arc. As in the case of S3, source S4 involves a composite mechanism featuring eight fault segments (Figure 3(d)). An 11 m slip was assumed in the four central planes and a 5 m slip in the four outer planes. As with the AD 365 source (S1) described by Shaw et al. (2008), the area and displacement of the maximum-slip fault patches for the variable-slip sources (S3 and S4) are larger than typically observed in most large earthquake sources (Scholz et al., 1986). However, sources S3 and S4 are inspired by and comparable to one of the maximum-slip fault patches in the Sumatra earthquake of 2004 (Subarya et al., 2006).

4. Tsunami simulation results

Results from the simulations are presented in Figures 4 to 8. For S1 (a \( M_w = 8.4 \) model of the AD 365 event SW of Crete), a strong lobe of directivity is aimed at the coast of Cyrenaica, Libya (Ben-Menahem and Rosenman, 1972), while a secondary lobe, presumably controlled by shallower bathymetry, is aimed towards the Peloponnesse in Greece (Figure 4). Tsunami wave interaction with shallower bathymetry also sustains maximum amplitudes (zero-to-peak) in the 2–3 m range along the eastern Libyan and Egyptian coasts. In the case of S2 (eastern Hellenic Arc event with uniform slip and \( M_w = 8.4 \)), the directivity lobe is aimed directly towards the Rosetta promontory of the western Nile Delta near Alexandria, Egypt (Figure 5). Comparison with Figure 6, which shows the simulation results for a variable-slip source (S3) at the same location, shows that the effect of variable slip is concentrated in the near-field; the effect becomes negligible in the far-field along the Egyptian coast, at a distance where the tsunami essentially integrates the seismic source whose details become largely irrelevant to the final wave amplitude. Source S4 features a lobe of directivity aimed at the east Libyan coast and, symmetrically, on the eastern coast of the Gulf of Antalya, Turkey (Figure 7). The effects of irregular bathymetry in the
Herodotus Basin and Nile Fan also create a secondary maximum in the vicinity of the Nile Delta.

Detailed results near the Rosetta promontory of the western Nile Delta are presented in Figure 8 in the form of time series of water levels at a virtual gauge located offshore at a depth of 20 m. For S1 (Figure 8(a)), the simulated maximum amplitude is about 0.9 m (1.4 m peak-to-trough) with a travel time of 90 min. For S2 and S3 (Figures 8(b) and 8(c) respectively), the time series are similar, with maximum amplitudes exceeding 2.0–2.5 m (3.7 and 4.5 m peak-to-peak respectively) with travel times of slightly less than 60 min. Finally, the maximum amplitude under S4 is 1.3 m (2.1 m peak-to-peak) with a travel time of 60 min.

5. Conclusion

Based on reports of documented historical events and seismotectonics of the region under study, plausible megathrust sources ($M_w > 8$) were identified in the Hellenic and Cyprian Arcs whose tsunamis would threaten the Egyptian coast near the Rosetta promontory of the Nile Delta. These sources were used in four possible models (three sources along the Hellenic subduction zone and one source on the NW Cyprian Arc) for hydrodynamic simulations with the numerical model Most.

The results indicate that the most significant threat to the area around the Rosetta promontory of the Nile Delta is from a megathrust event along the eastern Hellenic Arc, which could produce zero-to-peak amplitudes at a 20 m offshore gauge of about 3 m. The wave amplitude estimate at the gauge is relatively insensitive to the details of the slip distribution on the fault. Such amplitudes would inevitably lead to structural damage to infrastructure, given that they would be likely further enhanced by runup on dry land. Furthermore, they represent a substantial hazard for Alexandria. In addition, a travel time of 60 min for the initial wave arrival would present a challenge in terms of an orderly evacuation of the threatened coastlines. In addition, and despite a less favourable orientation of their faults, sources in western Crete or along the Cyprian Arc could also result in substantial amplitudes in the western Nile Delta region and near Alexandria (0.9 m and 1.3 m respectively), principally due to refraction by irregular bathymetry in the southeastern Mediterranean Basin.
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